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Abstract
The origins of syncopation in 20th-century American popular music have been a source of controversy. I offer a new account of this historical process. I distinguish between second-position syncopation, an accent on the second quarter of a half-note or quarter-note unit, and fourth-position syncopation, an accent on the fourth quarter of such a unit. Unlike second-position syncopation, fourth-position syncopation tends to have an anticipatory character. In an earlier study I presented evidence suggesting British roots for second-position syncopation. In contrast, fourth-position syncopation – the focus of the current study – seems to have had no presence in published 19th-century vocal music, British or American. It first appears in notation in ragtime songs and piano music at the very end of the 19th century; it was also used in recordings by African-American singers before it was widely notated.

Syncopation is one of the essential features of 20th-century popular music – American popular music, certainly, but also the many musical genres around the world that have felt American influence. Across a wide variety of popular styles spanning the entire century (and extending into the 21st – ragtime, jazz, Tin Pan Alley, rock, country, disco, rap – syncopation is a pervasive and unifying characteristic. It is also a characteristic that distinguishes modern popular music from music of the past. While many basic features of 20th-century popular music are shared with that of the previous century and before – duple and triple metrical structures, a norm of four-measure phrases, melody-and-accompaniment texture, diatonic scale frameworks and triadic harmony – the much higher degree of syncopation in the later century creates a strong contrast between the two eras.

Given the importance of syncopated rhythms in 20th-century popular music, it is natural to wonder about their historical roots: Where and when did these rhythms originate? There is little consensus on this issue. Some have suggested that they arose primarily out of earlier African-American, or even African, music. Mark Gridley and Wallace Rave, while acknowledging the presence of syncopation in European music, assert that ‘it is more likely that the model for jazz syncopation … came from Africa’ (1984, p. 48). Edward Berlin offers a very different view, suggesting that ‘the rhythmic configurations constituting ragtime syncopation … had long been part of the language of Western music’; only their ‘consistency’ was new to ragtime (Berlin 1980, p. 106). Other authors take a middle ground, viewing the rhythm of ragtime and later genres as a fusion of European and African-American traditions. Several of these accounts centre on the rhythmic pattern , known as the ‘Scotch snap,’
or the related pattern $\frac{3}{4}$. The Scotch snap is traditionally associated with British music, as the name implies, although opinions differ as to whether its use in ragtime originated from that source or from African-American music. In either case, it has been argued that the syncopations of ragtime arose, at least in part, out of extensions and elaborations of this pattern: in ragtime rhythm, ‘the simple syncopated rhythmic germ $\frac{3}{4}$ ... is expanded and made more complex’ (Hamm 1983, p. 393; see also Krehbiel 1914, p. 94; van der Merwe 1989, pp. 163–4).

In this article I offer a novel, alternative view of the evolution of 20th-century syncopation. Of crucial importance is the distinction between what I will call second-position syncopations, accents on the second quarter of a metrical unit (the second eighth of a half-note span, or the second sixteenth of a quarter), and fourth-position syncopations, or accents on the fourth quarter of a metrical unit. In itself, this distinction is not new. Second-position syncopation could be viewed as a generalised version of the Scotch snap (I will discuss the connection between these two concepts further below). The distinction between second- and fourth-position syncopations was recognised by Berlin, who labels them as ‘untied’ and ‘tied’ syncopations, respectively (1980, pp. 81–3). Berlin notes that untied syncopations were common in ragtime’s early years, while tied ones became popular after 1900.

I will suggest that the distinction between second- and fourth-position syncopations is more fundamental and important than has been generally acknowledged. The two types have quite different musical features: in vocal music, second-position syncopations generally carry unstressed syllables while fourth-position ones carry stressed syllables. (‘Stress’ here, and throughout the study, refers to lexical stresses inherent in words, not to any kind of musical accentuation.) In addition, unlike second-position syncopations, fourth-position syncopations usually have a strongly anticipatory character: one feels that the syncopated note ‘belongs’ on the following strong beat. The two rhythmic devices also appear to have very different historical origins. In a recent article (Temperley 2019), which I will briefly summarise here, I present evidence confirming the view that the roots of second-position syncopation are largely in British (English and Scottish) music; its uses in modern popular music often resemble earlier British uses. In contrast, fourth-position syncopation – the focus of the current article – has no clear precedent in European music of any kind. It first appears in ragtime piano in the 1890s, and probably grew out of earlier forms of African-American music; evidence from recordings shows that fourth-position syncopations were used in performance before they were notated. I will also consider another important aspect of 20th-century syncopation: cross-rhythm, the suggestion of a dotted-quarter or dotted-eighth pulse over an underlying duple pulse. In this case, too, African-American origins seem most likely, although Caribbean music may have been an important influence as well.

---


2 I prefer my nomenclature to Berlin’s. Even in Berlin’s system, the identity of a syncopation depends on its metrical position, not on its literal duration (1980, p. 84); thus ‘tied’ syncopations need not always involve a literal tie. Duration does have an effect on syncopation, however, as I will explain.
Syncopation

The *New Harvard Dictionary of Music* defines syncopation as ‘a momentary contradiction of the prevailing meter or pulse’ (Randel 1986, p. 827). This roughly captures the usual meaning of the term, and the meaning that I assume here, but it will be useful to adopt a more precise definition. Following Lerdahl and Jackendoff (1983), we can think of a metre as a hierarchical framework of levels of beats, with each level corresponding to a rhythmic value – a ‘metrical grid’, as shown in Figure 1. Each beat can be identified by the highest level at which it is present; beat A in the figure is an eighth-note-level (or simply eighth-level) beat, while beat B is a half-note-level beat. Beats have varying levels of strength depending on how far up they extend in the hierarchy; an eighth-level beat is weaker than a quarter-level beat but stronger than a sixteenth-level beat. An intermediate level in the hierarchy is generally regarded as the main beat or ‘tactus’ – the quarter-note level in 4/4 or the dotted-quarter in 6/8. Also useful is Lerdahl and Jackendoff’s concept of phenomenal accent (1983, p. 17), which is anything in the music that gives accentuation or emphasis to a beat. Sources of phenomenal accent include note onsets, long notes, loud notes, stressed syllables and changes of harmony. Defined in this way, phenomenal accentuation is clearly a gradient matter: a beat may be accented to a greater or lesser degree. Generally, phenomenal accents fall on relatively strong beats (this is what conveys the metre to the listener), but they need not always do so. Lerdahl and Jackendoff define syncopation as a conflict between phenomenal accents and metre; a situation where a weak beat carries a stronger phenomenal accent than a neighbouring strong beat (1983, pp. 17–18). For example, in Example 1A, the second note is a syncopation, since it falls on an eighth-level beat but is phenomenally accented (owing to its length), more so than the following quarter-level beat (which carries no note) and the previous whole-note-level beat (which only carries a short note). Syncopations can be labelled according to the metrical level of the accented weak beat: thus Examples 1A and 1B are eighth-level syncopations and Examples 1C and 1D are sixteenth-level syncopations. Examples 1A and 1C are what I call second-position syncopations, where the syncopation falls on the second quarter of a half-note or quarter-note unit; Examples 1B and 1D are fourth-position syncopations. Example 1C is essentially the Scotch snap, although some have defined the Scotch snap to include Example 1A as well (Hamm 1983, p. 389; van der Merwe 1989, p. 163). Both second- and fourth-position syncopation are common in modern popular music; examples of both will be given later in the article.
Some efforts have been made to define syncopation in quantitative terms, allowing measurement of its statistical frequency in musical corpora. Most such definitions consider only the pattern of note onsets in the melody and its alignment with the metre, disregarding other possible sources of phenomenal accent. For example, Longuet-Higgins and Lee (1984) define a syncopation simply as a note on a weak beat with no note on the following strong beat; other definitions are similar (Huron and Ommen 2006; Gomez et al. 2007). I have argued elsewhere (Temperley 2019) that such ‘positional’ definitions of syncopation neglect important stylistic differences in the use of syncopation. Consider Examples 2A and 2B, both from 19th-century English songs. The boxed segments in these two phrases are essentially identical in terms of their onset patterns (in relation to the metre): both feature note onsets on the first, second and fourth eighth-note beats of a half-note segment (a 2/4 measure, or half of a 4/4 measure). In positional terms, the second note within the box in each of these cases could be considered a syncopation (a second-position syncopation, by the taxonomy introduced earlier). Yet the effect of syncopation seems much stronger in Example 2B than in Example 2A. Several factors contribute to this: in
Example 2B, the second note is continued over the following beat (rather than followed by a rest), it has a change of syllable from the preceding note (rather than a melisma) and it is approached by an ascending leap in pitch; Example 2A has none of these features. Example 2C, from an early-20th-century popular song, has all of the syncopating features mentioned above, and is similar in effect to Example 2B.

As noted earlier, several scholars have considered the idea (although sometimes with scepticism) that the use of second-position syncopation in modern popular music may have roots in the Scotch snap of earlier British music. In an earlier study (Temperley 2019), I explored this possibility from a statistical perspective, presenting a corpus analysis of second-position syncopation in 19th-century vocal music.3 My corpus consisted of seven smaller corpora, representing vocal music of different nations and cultures. Five European corpora – English, French, German, Italian and Scottish – were represented by songbooks from each nation. I also included a book of African-American songs, Slave Songs of the United States (Allen et al. 1867), and a book of Euro-American songs, A Treasury of American Song (Downes and Siegmeister 1940; I excluded a section of African-American songs, as well as sections focusing on songs after 1900). I refer to these as the African-American and Euro-American corpora, respectively. I analysed each of the seven corpora with regard to the frequency of second-position syncopation. When syncopation was defined purely in positional terms (so that both Examples 2A and 2B are syncopations), eighth-level second-position syncopation was common in the English, Scottish and Italian corpora, as well as the two American ones. However, when the features of note duration, syllabic onset and pitch contour were considered (thus including Example 2B but excluding Example 2A), second-position syncopation was non-existent in the Italian corpus, occurring only in the two British corpora and the two American ones. As for sixteenth-level second-position syncopations, these were very rare in the three continental corpora (French, German, and Italian), even when defined only in terms of onset pattern; they were fairly common in the British and American corpora.

My conclusions in this earlier study were that second-position syncopation was much more common in 19th-century British music than in that of other Western European nations, and that its prevalence and usage in Euro-American and African-American music strongly resembled those of British music. It is certainly possible that the use of second-position syncopation in American music was influenced by other factors – by musical developments internal to the United States, or even by African musical traditions. We should bear in mind also that the evidence presented so far is entirely based on notated music; actual performances of these songs may have diverged quite markedly from their notated rhythms, an issue that I will address later in the article. Still, based on the evidence considered here, it seems likely that this important element of 20th-century popular music had roots in British music. Other essential elements of this rhythmic idiom, however, appear to have quite different origins.

Fourth-position syncopation

Before proceeding, a few words are needed about the character of fourth-position syncopation in 20th-century popular music. Unlike second-position syncopations,
fourth-position syncopations (in vocal music) usually carry a stressed syllable; two typical instances are shown in Example 3. (In this and subsequent examples, fourth-position syncopations are marked with asterisks.) If the syncopated note is preceded by an unstressed syllable on the previous strong beat (as is often the case), this creates a conflict between metre and stress. In Example 3A, for example, the syllable ‘stock’ is more stressed than the syllable ‘of’, although it falls on a weaker beat. However, the fourth-position note is understood as really ‘belonging’ on the following strong beat, thus resolving the stress-metre conflict. This anticipatory effect is typical of fourth-position syncopations. Second-position syncopations typically carry unstressed syllables (this is the case in both Examples 2B and 2C), so there is little reason to regard them as anticipatory. The stress-metre conflict created by fourth-position syncopations usually makes them easily recognisable; other factors that affect second-position syncopation – such as pitch contour and duration – tend to seem less important.

In instrumental music, the absence of syllabic stress can make the identification of anticipatory fourth-position syncopations more difficult. Some fourth-position syncopations create little sense of anticipation, such as the note at the end of the third measure in Example 4A. However, other factors can still create an anticipatory effect. Harmonic structure is one factor. In Example 4B, the right-hand dyad at the end of the third measure clashes with the D♭ major harmony of the measure; if we regard it as belonging on the following downbeat, it makes more harmonic sense. (The same point could be made about Example 3B; the C♯ at the end of the phrase fits the F♯ minor harmony of the next measure better than the B minor harmony of the current one.) A chord carries a stronger sense of anticipation than a single note, since chords normally fall on metrically strong positions; Berlin (1980, pp. 128–9) observes that fourth-position syncopations in ragtime piano music are much more likely to be chords than second-position ones. Motivic parallelism can play a role too: in a case like Example 4C, when a motive is presented first without

---

Footnote: For discussion, see Temperley (1999). Elsewhere the anticipatory nature of fourth-position syncopation has been mentioned only briefly and in passing: see Waterman (1948, pp. 25 and 32) and Fox (2002, p. 40). Anticipatory syncopations are not to be confused with anticipations, a common melodic device in European music of the common-practice period. An anticipation precedes a note of the same pitch on the following strong beat; an anticipatory syncopation does not.
syncopations and then with them, this suggests that the notes are being shifted from strong beats to earlier weak ones. (In some cases, such parallelisms are better explained as cross-rhythms than anticipations, as I discuss in a later section.)

We begin by considering the incidence of fourth-position syncopations in the songbooks used in the corpus analysis described above. In the European corpora (English, French, German, Italian, and Scottish), anticipatory fourth-position syncopations are simply non-existent: not a single clear example is found in any of the five national corpora. A small number of positional fourth-position syncopations occur— that is, cases where a note on the fourth quarter of a quarter-note or half-note unit is followed by a strong beat with no note; but in all of these cases, the syncopated note carries an unstressed syllable or a melisma. One instance is shown in Example 5A: while the second syllable of ‘shoulders’ could perhaps be described as a syncopation, the fact that the syllable is unstressed makes it quite different in effect from the syncopations shown in Example 3.

While the rarity of fourth-position syncopations in the European corpora is perhaps unsurprising, they are also extremely rare in the Euro-American and African-American corpora. The Euro-American corpus (A Treasury of American Song) contains just one dubious example, shown in Example 5B (‘away’); the irregular metre of the song makes one uncertain about the rhythmic and metric interpretation. The African-American corpus (Slave Songs of the United States) contains three possible instances of fourth-position syncopation, none of which reflect its typical uses in later popular music. In one case (Example 5C), the fourth-position syllable is unstressed (‘dat’); in another (Example 5D), from a song in a French-derived Creole dialect, the fourth-position syncopation...
seems to arise from an unusual shifting of a second-position syncopation earlier in the measure. The third instance shown (Example 5E) is the most convincing, featuring a stressed syllable (‘Je-sus’) on the fourth eighth of a half-note segment; however, the syncopation occurs at the beginning of a phrase, which is atypical of later uses of fourth-position syncopation. By way of comparison, the same songbook contains 225 second-position syncopations (Temperley 2019); 77 of the 130 songs (59%) contain at least one. Two other late-19th-century books of African-American songs were also examined, *Jubilee Songs* (Anonymous 1872) and *Cabin and Plantation Songs* (Fenner 1886); like *Slave Songs of the United States*, they contain many second-position syncopations but practically no fourth-position syncopations.6

Example 5. Fourth-position syncopations in pre-20th-century vocal music.

---

5 This example is also unusual in that the second-position syncopation appears to carry a stressed syllable (‘dou-lair’). The example is from a group of songs that the editors note were especially difficult to transcribe (Allen et al. 1867, p. 113).

6 The closest thing to a fourth-position syncopation in these two books is a fourth-position note that is part of a melisma begun on the previous note: ‘I’m Troubled in Mind,’ *Jubilee Songs*, p. 58, measure 7. This could perhaps be heard as anticipatory.
The sources considered here suggest that anticipatory fourth-position syncopa-
tion was exceedingly rare in 19th-century American vocal music – or at least, that it
was hardly ever represented in notation. What about instrumental music? One inter-
esting, although inconclusive, body of evidence is the mid-19th-century banjo music
of Dan Emmett, published and analysed by Hans Nathan (1962). Nathan rightly
observes that Emmett’s transcriptions and compositions show a level of rhythmic
complexity that seems to have little precedent in European music (1962, pp. 205–
7); Examples 6A–6C show three of Nathan’s examples. In positional terms, there
are numerous cases of both second-position and fourth-position syncopations.
However, it is unclear that any of the fourth-position syncopations are truly anticipa-
tory in character. Many clearly are not. In Example 6A, the C at the end of the first
measure is motivically parallel to the one at the end of the second measure. The
second C cannot be anticipatory, since it is followed by a note on the following
downbeat; this discourages an anticipatory hearing of the first C. Nathan presents
two cases, shown in Examples 6B and 6C, in which, he says, ‘the phrase … begins
prematurely, that is, before the previous measure ends’ (1962, p. 195); I take this to
mean that the first note of the phrase anticipates its underlying position. However,
this analysis seems debatable in both cases. Nathan describes Example 6C as a vari-
ant of an Irish melody, shown in Example 6D; the downbeat rest at the beginning of
Emmett’s melody seems to arise from a delay in the second note, rather than an
anticipation in the first note. It seems unlikely that anticipatory thinking was
involved in this music, although we cannot be certain.

Clear evidence of anticipatory fourth-position syncopation only emerges much
later in the century – in ragtime music of the 1890s. Berlin (1980, pp. 84–8) shows that
second-position (‘untied’) syncopations were common from the very beginning of
ragtime; fourth-position (‘tied’) syncopations occur only occasionally before 1900, becoming much more common in later years (see also Volk & de Haas 2013). Example 7 shows three examples not cited by Berlin, the first from a solo piano piece, the second and third from songs. I apologise for the offensive and racist character of the second example, and several others below, but they are important pieces of evidence. In solo piano music, like Example 7A, it is sometimes debatable whether the fourth-position syncopations are truly anticipations. A stronger case can be made in piano accompaniments to songs. In Example 7B, the right hand of the piano part has two fourth-position syncopations. Essentially it plays a rhythmic variation on the vocal melody; each of the syncopations occurs just before a stressed syllable (‘moke,’ ‘broke’), creating a strongly anticipatory effect. Several other songs from the late 1890s show exactly the same pattern, with fourth-position syncopations in the piano part but not in the vocal line, and with the piano syncopations anticipating notes of the melody. After 1900, fourth-position syncopations begin to appear in vocal parts as well; Example 7C is

---

Example 7. Fourth-position syncopations in ragtime.

---

7 Chris Lane, ‘The Man Who Leads the Cake Walk’; Cole and Johnson (no first names given), ‘The Luckiest Coon in Town’; Ben Harney, ‘You May Go But This Will Bring You Back’. Numerous other
from 1902. The fact that second-position syncopation was common even in very early ragtime, while fourth-position syncopation was introduced only gradually (first in piano parts, later in vocal parts), is not surprising, in light of the evidence presented earlier. Second-position syncopation was already part of the musical language of 19th-century Americans, inherited from British songs and the overall stylistic character of British vocal music. Anticipatory fourth-position syncopation, in contrast, was something really new.

Complicating this account is the fact that the use of fourth-position syncopation in vocal performance seems to predate its appearance in notation. Evidence for this comes from very early recordings of popular songs by African-American singers. Example 8A shows a phrase from the sheet music to ‘The Whistling Coon’, from 1888; Example 8B shows my own transcription of a recorded performance of the phrase by George Johnson from 1891 (Brooks 2005). The performance contains clear examples of fourth-position syncopation (‘in my time’, ‘funniest of all’); these are highly idiomatic uses of the device, similar to those in Example 3, with fourth-position stressed syllables anticipating the following strong beats. These syncopations are absent in the sheet music; the rhythm of the performance is, roughly speaking, a syncopated version of the notated rhythm. It seems most likely that the syncopations originated with Johnson himself, but we cannot be certain.8 In

Example 8. Notated (A) and performed (B,C) versions of the first phrase of “The Whistling Coon.”
this case, a performer added syncopations to a notated song; in other cases, transcribers removed syncopations from a vocal performance. Example 9 shows notated and performed versions of a phrase from ‘My Little Zulu Babe’, recorded in 1901 by the successful African-American duo Bert Williams and George Walker (Brooks 2005). The sheet music (from 1900) states that the song was ‘originally introduced and featured’ by Williams and Walker, and is surely based on their earlier performances of the song. Williams’s vocal performance contains numerous syncopations, both second and fourth position, but only the second-position syncopations are captured in the notation. Example 9 (the final phrase of the song) illustrates this: The second-position syncopation on ‘little’ in Williams’s performance (Example 9B) is accurately reflected in the notation (Example 9A), but the fourth-position syncopations on ‘she’ and ‘babe’ are not.9

Vocal uses of fourth-position syncopation in the 1890s and the first few years of the 1900s are found mainly in recordings by African-American singers; among white singers they are much less common. An interesting case in point is ‘The Whistling Coon’, which was recorded by at least three white singers in the years after the minstrel shows (Brooks and Spottswood 2004, pp. 27–8); it is possible that Johnson learned the syncopations from another singer.

9 A similar case is ‘The Laughing Song’, also sung by George Johnson. Johnson recorded the song many times (as was necessitated by the technology of the time), starting in 1890; the available recording is from between 1894 and 1898 (Brooks 2005). The sheet music, from 1894, mentions Johnson’s recording and is presumably based on his performance; he is in fact credited as the composer of the song, although an arranger is also named. Johnson’s performance contains numerous fourth-position syncopations (such as ‘cor-ner’ and ‘dar-ky’ in the first verse), but the sheet music omits these entirely, shifting each syncopated note to the following quarter-note position. This seems to be another case of performed syncopations being removed in the transcription process.
Johnson introduced it (Brooks and Spottswood 2004, pp. 33, 60–1). The earliest of the three, by John Atlee (1898), diverges somewhat from the music notation, but uses no fourth-position syncopations; Atlee’s performance of the first phrase is shown in Example 8C. The later two recordings, by S.H. Dudley (1902?) and Billy Murray (1910), follow the unsyncopated rhythm of the sheet music almost exactly; the first phrase of both performances is as shown in Example 8A. Similarly, Silas Leachman’s recording of ‘My Little Zulu Babe’ omits the fourth-position syncopations of Bert Williams’s original (compare Examples 9B and 9C). Other recordings by white singers of songs originally recorded by African-Americans are similar in this regard. By 1910, fourth-position syncopations were common both in notated vocal parts and in white singers’ performances. ‘Casey Jones’, from 1909, is illustrative (Example 10); the syncopation on ‘hear’ is present in Billy Murray’s 1910 recording as well.

The examples above show quite clearly that fourth-position syncopation was in use by African-American singers as early as 1891, and that, in some cases, it was used in performance but not notated; either it was added by performers of notated music or it was omitted in transcriptions of vocal performances. These practices may have been quite widespread. The roots of ragtime are thought to lie partly in the improvisations of itinerant pianists (Gushee 1994, pp. 13–14; Southern 1997, pp. 314–17); it is quite possible that fourth-position syncopations were common in this music well before they began to appear in notation. One might wonder if the same was true of other kinds of African-American instrumental and vocal music; for example, the performances transcribed in Slave Songs in the United States may have contained fourth-position syncopations that were not notated. This might have occurred (if

---

Example 10. T. Lawrence Seibert and Eddie Newton, “Casey Jones,” mm. 7–8.

---

10 A fourth singer, A.C. Weaver, also recorded the song (Brooks and Spottswood 2004, p. 33), but I was unable to access this recording.
11 A recording by Burt Shepard of ‘The Laughing Song’ omits the fourth-position syncopations of Johnson’s version (discussed in note 9). As another example, compare Bert Williams’ and Dan Quinn’s recordings of ‘All Going Out and Nothing Coming In’; Williams’ recording contains far more fourth-position syncopations than Quinn’s. Fourth-position syncopations were not completely avoided by white singers during this time. Quinn’s recording of ‘The Band Played On’ (1895) appears to have several, for example, on the phrases ‘beat the world’ and ‘came a-round’ – although in a case such as this, it is possible that the apparent fourth-position syncopations are just an accidental result of the rather free and flexible rhythmic style.
12 See Epstein (1983) for discussion of the accuracy of the transcriptions in Slave Songs of the United States. One could look to groups such as the Fisk University Jubilee Quartet and the Tuskegee Institute Singers, who recorded numerous slave songs after 1900, but this evidence does not really resolve the issue. Many of these recorded performances adhere to the notated rhythms in the African-American songbooks discussed earlier, featuring second-position syncopations but not fourth-position ones. Other performances contain fourth-position syncopations not shown in the original notation (compare the Tuskegee Institution Singers’ performance of ‘Go Down Moses’ with the version in Jubilee Songs, 4: ‘when Is-rael was’). It is possible, however, that these syncopations were recent
it did occur) because the transcribers of the songs viewed the syncopations as imprecisions or as nuances of timing that did not require transcription, or because they felt that such syncopations would not be appealing to the book’s intended audience.

There is reason to doubt, however, that fourth-position syncopations were prevalent in African-American music long before 1890. If they had been, surely white audiences and performers would have heard such rhythms and become accustomed to them. The gradual incorporation of fourth-position syncopations into ragtime – first in notated piano parts, then in vocal parts; first by African-American singers, later by white singers – suggests that this was not the case. Rather, it points to the novelty and strangeness of fourth-position syncopation at the time: some exposure to it was needed before white listeners (and even white performers) would become fully comfortable with it.\(^\text{13}\) I suspect, then, that anticipatory fourth-position syncopation was a late-19th century phenomenon, even in African-American music, perhaps emerging only shortly before Johnson’s first recordings.

**Cross-rhythm**

Example 4B contains a feature that is very characteristic of ragtime: cross-rhythm. Cross-rhythm relates to a factor in the perception of metre that Lerdahl and Jackendoff call *parallelism* (1983, pp. 74–5). When a musical pattern is immediately repeated, there is a tendency to perceive a metrical level whose *period* (the time interval between beats) is the same as the length of the pattern. This can arise even with an isochronous pattern such as Example 11A: it seems clear in this case that there is a dotted-quarter metrical level, although its *phase*, the placement of the beats in relation to the pattern, is uncertain. (Is the first note of the pattern metrically strong, or the third?) If one position in the pattern is phenomenally accented, by length or other means, as in Example 11B, the implied metre becomes clear. Cross-rhythm occurs when a parallelism arises that undermines the prevailing metre.\(^\text{14}\) It seems reasonable to consider this a form of syncopation, under the usual construal of the term as a ‘contradiction’ of the metre, although not all authors do so (Berlin 1980, p. 131). A rhythmic pattern in itself can have an effect of cross-rhythm, by creating a repeated motive in conflict with the metre, but this effect is likely to be weak unless it is supported by a repeated pattern of pitches (or perhaps intervals). Example 2C, shown earlier, is a case in point; the repeated rhythmic pattern (eighth–quarter–eighth–quarter) is reinforced by the repeated E–G pitch pattern. Example 11C is another famous instance: although the melodic pattern is not literally repeated, the E♭ octaves clearly imply a dotted-eighth pulse.

With regard to cross-rhythm, the 19th-century songbooks used in the above-mentioned corpus analyses reveal a definite difference in style between the African-American songs and the European and Euro-American songs. The European and Euro-American corpora do not contain a single convincing example of cross-rhythm; while purely durational cross-rhythmic patterns occasionally occur (e.g.

---

\(^\text{13}\) Recordings of the 1890s, especially George Johnson’s, certainly provided such exposure; they were wildly popular (Brooks and Spottswood 2004, pp. 26–45, 75–152).

\(^\text{14}\) For theoretical treatments of cross-rhythm, see Pressing (1983), Toussaint (2002) and Cohn (2016).
eighth–quarter–eighth–quarter), they are never clearly reinforced by a repeated pitch pattern. In the African-American songbooks, in contrast, there are several very clear instances of cross-rhythm and a number of suggestive ones; four are shown in Example 12. In Example 12A, the repeated two-note pitch pattern (A–C–A–C) makes the cross-rhythm unmistakable. (Compare with Example 2C.) In Examples 12B and 12C there is no literal repetition of a pitch pattern, but there are hints: in 12B, the first note of a two-note pair is repeated, A–C–A–B♭; in Example 12C, a repeated-note figure is shifted a step down, G–G–F–F. Subtle though these suggestions of cross-rhythm may be, they have no parallel in any of the other corpora. In Example 12D (transcribed from a slave community in Louisiana), the cross-rhythm is established partly by the text—the repetition of the words ‘Rémon’ and ‘Simon’; again, nothing like this occurs in any of the other corpora.

Cross-rhythm is present—indeed, prevalent—in the very earliest ragtime music, and there is some evidence of it in earlier American instrumental music. Nathan (1962) observes several cases of cross-rhythm in Emmett’s banjo compositions and transcriptions; one is shown in Example 13A. Example 13B, from an 1886 piano piece, is debatable (like Examples 12B and 12C above): the Es in the first measure (and the Ds in the second) form a repeated two-note pitch pattern, but not one that specifically favours the dotted-eighth pulse. Still, I doubt that one would find this pattern in any European music of the period. In ‘Tickled to Death’ (Example 7A) and ‘Maple Leaf Rag’ (Example 11C), the cross-rhythmic intent seems beyond dispute. Some of these pieces were written by white composers, but were intended as imitations of African-American instrumental practices; this is clear from the pieces’ titles and cover pictures (in the case of Examples 7A and 13B) and from our general knowledge of their stylistic influences (in the case of Example 13A) (Nathan 1962, pp. 107–58). Such examples, combined with the examples of cross-rhythm in slave songs mentioned above, leave little doubt that this rhythmic feature originated in

15 Emmett was white, as was Hunter (Jasen and Tichnor 1978, p. 34); Hindley’s race could not be identified.
A. “Peter, Go Ring Them Bells,” mm. 16–18, in *Cabin and Plantation Songs*, 175.


C. “Stars Begin to Fall,” mm. 6–8, in *Slave Songs of the United States*, 26.

D. “Rémon,” mm. 1–5, in *Slave Songs of the United States*, 110.


B. Thomas Hindley, “Patrol Comique,” mm. 53–4.


19th-century (or even earlier) African-American music, and passed on from there into ragtime and later popular music.

The relationship between cross-rhythm and other forms of syncopation requires comment. Cross-rhythms may contain either second-position (Example 2C) or fourth-position syncopations (Example 7A). Example 11C contains both: the second
Conclusions and further issues

The marked increase in syncopation in popular music around 1900 was widely observed at the time. As noted by Berlin, early descriptions of ragtime often highlight syncopation as a prominent or even defining feature; probably the name itself is due to this (‘ragged-time’; Berlin 1980, pp. 11–13, 26–9). What was it about ragtime rhythm that seemed so novel and striking to contemporary listeners? It was not second-position syncopation: this had long been present in American music, with roots in earlier British music. It is true that the sheer frequency of second-position syncopations in many ragtime pieces exceeds anything found in earlier British or American music, but this alone seems unlikely to have accounted for ragtime rhythm’s striking effect. The rhythmic novelty of ragtime lay, rather, in two other devices: fourth-position syncopation and cross-rhythm. Even cross-rhythm had some presence in earlier African-American music and should not have been completely unfamiliar to white listeners. But fourth-position syncopation, in its characteristic anticipatory form, seems to have been non-existent in European and Euro-American music before the ragtime era, and I suspect that it had only recently emerged in African-American music. Indeed, one might argue that we need this assumption to explain the evident novelty of ragtime rhythm to white listeners: something was creating this effect, and it seems likely that it was largely fourth-position syncopation, although cross-rhythm may also have played a role.

One possible source for the devices of 20th-century syncopation has not yet been considered, namely, Caribbean music. Example 15 shows two excerpts from a Cuban piano piece published in about 1857 (Manuel 2009, p. 73), containing syncopations in both second and fourth positions. The sense of syncopation is unmistakable, conveyed largely by motivic parallelisms: the G–A motive in m. 10 (considering just the top line), with the A on a strong beat, makes us hear the fourth-position A as accented when the motive is repeated in the following measure, and also gives the
latter a an anticipatory character. That anticipatory fourth-position syncopation was entirely due to Caribbean influence seems unlikely; it is unclear, for example, that George Johnson – who lived in Virginia and New York City, and was using fourth-position syncopations in 1891 – would have heard much Caribbean music. However, Caribbean influence might have reinforced tendencies that were already developing in American popular music in the late 19th century.

The case for Caribbean influence is especially strong in the case of cross-rhythm. As Peter Manuel has shown, 19th-century Caribbean rhythms are largely built on a few specific rhythmic patterns, especially the tresillo and cinquillo (Example 16; Manuel 2009, pp. 19–22; see also Washburne 1997). Both of these patterns can be described under the current framework as containing a fourth-position syncopation (at the points marked with asterisks). However, they can also be understood as reflecting an implied dotted-eighth pulse superimposed on the underlying duple metre. Very often, this cross-rhythm is brought out by motivic parallelisms. Measure 4 of Example 15 is a case in point: the rhythm of the melody could well be described as a modified cinquillo, and the repeating motive (A – A – G – G) reinforces it. Further evidence of the presence of such rhythms in 19th-century Caribbean music comes from the works of the American composer Louis Gottschalk, whose incorporation of Caribbean elements is well known; pieces such as ‘Ojos criollos – danse cubaine’ (Example 17) and ‘Souvenir de Porto Rico’ contain both fourth-position syncopations and cross-rhythms. The extent of Caribbean influence in the development of ragtime and later American popular genres is an interesting issue, raising questions about cultural contact and interaction that are beyond my scope and expertise.

Gottschalk’s compositions are of interest here in another respect as well. In addition to his Caribbean-influenced works, he also wrote pieces inspired by the

---

16 See Brooks and Spottswood (2004, pp. 15–71) for a detailed account of Johnson’s life.
17 For discussion of the influence of Caribbean music on ragtime, jazz and other popular genres, see Fiehrer (1991) and Washburne (1997).
African-American music he heard in his native Louisiana, such as his ‘Bamboula – danse des negres’, ‘Le bananier – chanson negre’ and ‘Le banjo’. These pieces, all from the 1840s and 1850s, contain occasional second-position syncopations (e.g. ‘Le banjo’, mm. 3–4), but no fourth-position ones. Here we have a composer who was clearly capable of notating fourth-position syncopations (as he did in his Caribbean-influenced pieces) and apparently interested in highlighting distinctive rhythmic aspects of non-European music; if he had heard anticipatory fourth-position syncopations in Louisiana, surely he would have written them. This is further evidence that this device emerged in African-American music only in the late 19th century.

I have said little about European instrumental music (British or continental), and one might wonder if there is any precedent in that music for the devices of 20th-century syncopation. Fairly clear cases of second-position syncopation can be found; the first three measures of Example 4A are illustrative. Fourth-position syncopations appear to be much rarer, and most (like the third measure of Example 4A, discussed earlier) carry little sense of anticipation. One possible exception is the opening theme of the movement quoted in Example 4A, shown in Example 18A; each tied melody note is arguably an anticipation of the following strong beat. However, this theme feels quite incongruous in the context of the classical style. Cross-rhythms – momentary suggestions of a dotted-quarter or dotted-eighth pulse in a duple-metre context – present a similar situation: they occasionally appear, but seem like anomalous oddities rather than characteristic stylistic features. The cross-rhythm in Example 18B is quite unlike those discussed earlier; the unaccompanied three-note pattern encourages us to shift our metrical hearing to the dotted-quarter pulse, rather than retaining the underlying quarter-note pulse as we do in Example 11C. Cross-rhythms in triple or compound metre contexts – such as hemiolas – are more common, used frequently by composers such as Schumann and Brahms (Krebs 1999), but these would seem to have little connection to modern popular music.
One remaining issue, a controversial one, is the possible roots of 20th-century syncopation in African music. It seems unlikely that this issue will ever be resolved with certainty, given our very limited knowledge about African music at the time of the slave trade. It is certainly possible that all of the devices of 20th-century syncopation – second-position, fourth-position and cross-rhythm – were strengthened by, or even grew out of, rhythmic practices brought over from Africa. Caribbean influences on these elements may, of course, have African roots as well. Modern accounts of traditional West African music attest to the prevalence of syncopation and cross-rhythm, but not specifically to anticipatory syncopation (Nketia 1974; Locke 1982; Agawu 1995). Still, the possibility of African roots for anticipatory syncopation is intriguing, given its absence in earlier European music. The plausibility of this connection depends partly on when fourth-position syncopation (in its characteristic anticipatory form) emerged in American music. Certainly it was present before its appearance in notation (as proven by Johnson’s recordings); however, other considerations – its absence in Emmett’s transcriptions and Gottschalk’s Louisianian compositions, its likely role in the novel effect of ragtime rhythm, and its gradual, incremental incorporation into ragtime – suggest that it came into use only in the late 19th century. If that is true, it seems unlikely that anticipatory fourth-position syncopation had direct origins in African music: why would a rhythmic device brought over from Africa only have surfaced in musical practice many decades later?

There is, of course, much more to be said about syncopation in the 20th century itself. It is simply a premise of my study that the devices discussed here – second-position syncopation, anticipatory fourth-position syncopation and cross-rhythm – can account for most of the syncopation in 20th-century popular music; this could certainly use further confirmation. Regarding the prevalence of second and fourth-position syncopation in rock, Tan et al. (2019) provides some evidence; Traut (2005) cites many examples of

\[\text{Example 18. Fourth-position syncopation and cross-rhythm in Beethoven.}\]
cross-rhythm in 1980s popular music. As noted earlier, the stress-metre conflict in fourth-position syncopations tends to make them easily identifiable, while second-position syncopations are more gradient in character; the second note in Example 19A seems more syncopated than that in Example 19B. No doubt, also, there are differences in the use of syncopation among 20th-century popular genres. Some studies of specific periods and genres within the century suggest that the frequency and intensity of syncopation increase over time (Berlin 1980; Huron and Ommen 2006; Waller 2016), but this has yet to be systematically studied across the entire century. Later in the century, one sees patterns like Example 19C, where every note in a vocal phrase is on a weak eighth-note beat, or Example 19D, where even the unstressed syllables are anticipatory, shifted from weak beats to strong ones.19

In this essay I have proposed a view of the origins of 20th-century syncopation that I hope has cast some new light on this issue. My account centres on two types of syncopation that are quite different in their musical features and historical origins. Comparison of English, Scottish, Euro-American and African-American vocal music in the 19th century shows that the use and prevalence of second-position syncopation were broadly similar across these cultures. Anticipatory fourth-position syncopation, however, is virtually non-existent in notated vocal music until the late 1890s, and is almost certainly of African-American origin; its use in performance

19 In cases such as these, second-position syncopations can take on an anticipatory character as well, although this is sometimes debatable; see Temperley (1999) for discussion. Another rhythmic issue in some 20th-century popular genres, especially jazz, is swing – the uneven division of the main (quarter-note) beat. It has been suggested that swing may have arisen partly as a way of clarifying the beat, compensating for the destabilising effect of syncopation (Iyer 2002; Temperley 2004; Butterfield 2011).
seems to have preceded its appearance in notation, although perhaps not by much. Given the distinctive musical character of fourth-position syncopation, it seems unlikely that it grew out of second-position syncopation, at least in any straightforward way; at the very least, its anticipatory character required a very different kind of musical thinking – a kind of cognitive ‘leap’. Cross-rhythm, too, appears to be an African-American innovation, perhaps amplified by the influence of Caribbean music. All of these conclusions are somewhat conjectural and may be reinforced or overturned by future research, but I hope this study has helped us better understand the origins of this vital aspect of 20th-century popular music.
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